DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 444 323 EC 308 014

AUTHOR Cardona, Cristina M.

TITLE What Do Spanish General Education Preservice and Inservice

Teachers Believe toward Inclusion?

PUB DATE 1999-00-00

NOTE 15p.; Paper presented at the Annual Conference of the

European Educational Research Association (Lahti, Finland,

September 1999).

PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Attitude Measures; Beliefs; *Disabilities; Elementary School

Teachers; Elementary Secondary Education; Foreign Countries;

Higher Education; *Inclusive Schools; Secondary School

Teachers; *Teacher Attitudes; Teacher Surveys

IDENTIFIERS *Spain

ABSTRACT

This study explored the attitudes and beliefs of Spanish regular classroom teachers toward inclusion. The "Inclusion Attitudes and Beliefs" survey instrument was administered to 100 preservice and inservice regular classroom teachers enrolled in a postgraduate program before taking a special education course. Results indicated that the teachers' attitudes toward inclusion could be classified as either: (1) acceptance of primary responsibility for included students and the positive effects of inclusion, particularly in the social domain; or (2) strong reservations about work load, skills necessary to teach diverse students, and fear of eliminating the continuum of placements. No statistically significant differences were found between Spanish preservice and inservice teachers' beliefs and attitudes. The paper concludes that continuous teacher education focused on perceptions and attitudes is needed to enable teachers to work effectively with special needs students. The survey, with teacher responses for each question, is attached. (Contains 15 references.) (DB)



What Do Spanish General Education Preservice and Inservice Teachers Believe Toward Inclusion?

Cristina M. Cardona

Professor

University of Alicante

Campus San Vicente del Raspeig, Alicante 03690, Spain

Phone & Fax: 34 - 965 - 903960

E-mail: cristina.cardona@ua.es

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Cardona

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Paper presented at the Annual Conference of the European Educational Research

Association, Lahti, Finland, September 1999.



ABSTRACT

1

This study was carried out to explore, analyze, and compare Spanish regular classroom teachers beliefs toward inclusion. The "Inclusion Attitudes and Beliefs" survey instrument was administered to a sample of 100 preservice and inservice regular classroom teachers enrolled in a postgraduate program before taking a special education course. The instrument included 30 statements to which respondents reacted on a five-point scale from strongly agree to strongly disagree. Results indicated that Spanish regular classroom teachers' beliefs toward inclusion divided into two major areas: (1) acceptance of primary responsibility for included students, and the positive effects of inclusion, particularly, on the social domain, and (2) strong reservations about work load, skills necessary to teach diverse students, and fear of eliminating the continuum of placements. No significant statistically differences were found between Spanish preservice and inservice teachers' beliefs and attitudes to teach diverse students in inclusive settings. Continuous teacher education focused on perceptions and attitudes that enable teachers to work effectively with special needs students should be provided.



INTRODUCTION

Inclusion means the education of all students, both with and without disabilities, in elementary or secondary regular classrooms and schools (Andrews, & Clementson, 1997). However, in order for inclusion to be effective, general classroom teachers have to be sensitive to its principles and demands.

The Spanish educational policy (LISMI, 1982; LOGSE, 1990; Real Decreto 696/1995) clearly advocates for the inclusion of all children in general education classrooms with appropriate assistance. Nevertheless, inquiry about Spanish teachers' beliefs and attitudes toward inclusion reveals (Balbás, 1998; García, & Alonso, 1985) not only a scarcity of research but also a need to systematically explore and analyze teachers' perceptions and attitudes toward the inclusion of all students into the regular classrooms.

In Spain, like in other developed countries, general education teachers have not reacted favorably to the demands of inclusion (Aguilera et al., 1990). A major criticism of the inclusion movement in this country is that inclusion has been imposed by law rather than after a process of consultation and preparation, and consequently, without taking into account teachers' reactions.

Most of the available information about teacher' beliefs and attitudes toward inclusion comes from the United States (Minke, Bear, Deemer, & Griffin, 1996; Scruggs, & Mastropieri, 1996). This research supports the warning of critics that regular classroom teachers are likely to resist the placement of children with disabilities into the regular classroom because of lack of skills (Semmel, Abernathy, Butera, & Lesar, 1991), perceived competence or self-efficay (Soodak, & Podell, 1993), judgment that instructional adaptations are unfeasible (Schumm, & Vaughn, 1991; Scott, Vitale, & Masten, 1998), or lack of supports and collaboration (Wolery, Werts, Caldwell, Snyder, & Lissowski, 1995). In such a context, relatively little is known about Spanish teachers' views and perceptions regarding inclusion. If as we know, beliefs influence



how teachers think, teach, react to change, and learn to teach, before we continue implementing inclusive programs, we need to explore the interplay of such as variables on teacher thinking.

Little has been done to determine whether or not Spanish teachers' beliefs differ according to experience with schooling. Preservice and inservice teachers may think differentially about inclusion and, consequently, may perceive different training needs. Thus, two questions guided this study:

- 1. What do Spanish regular classroom teachers believe toward inclusion?.
- 2. Do Spanish teachers' beliefs (benefits and concerns toward inclusion) differ as a function of school experience?.

METHOD

Participants

Participants were certified regular education teachers enrolled in a postgraduate teacher university program and registered in a required special education course. The total number of respondents completing the survey was 82 (71%). Teachers included in the study came from urban, suburban, and rural areas around the province of Alicante, Spain, and were ethnically homogeneous. Additional demographic information includes the following. The sample was 78% female and 22% male. Ages ranged from 21 to 59 years old (average = 30.84, <u>SD</u> = 9.79). Five percent of the sample had completed masters degrees and 95% held bachelors degrees. Half of the sample was conformed of preservice teachers and the remaining half of inservice regular education teachers. Percentages for other subject characteristic variables in inservice teachers are presented in Table 1.

Survey Instrument and Procedure

A modified version of the "Inclusion Attitudes and Beliefs" (Ellis, 1995) survey instrument was selected and adapted for use in the study. Fifteen items comprised the Benefits Scale and the



remaining fifteen items the Concerns Scale. The instrument was administered to two classes (one preservice and one inservice) of general education teachers enrolled in a postgraduate teacher university program before beginning a special education course included in that program. The Pre Benefits and Pre Concerns Scales exhibited fair internal consistency reliability (above .85).

The survey consisted of 30 questions. Each question assessed a teacher's belief about the benefits/concerns of inclusion. Each item was rated on a Likert scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). The items were totaled to generate a composite score for each Subscale and for the Total Scale (60 + B - C). A high score (over 60) indicated more benefits or concerns toward inclusion. The instrument also included a section with 3 open-ended questions to add additional comments related to inclusion.

RESULTS

1. What are the beliefs of Spanish regular classroom teachers toward inclusion?.

The results are reported in Table 2 and Table 3 for benefits and concerns, respectively. In reviewing statements associated with benefits, 12% of the respondents had the opinion that special education should provide education for disabled (and nondisabled) only in the regular classrooms. This response indicates that regular education teachers, in a 71 percent, prefer sending students with special needs to special education classrooms rather than having special education teachers deliver services in the regular classroom. Sixty-two percent of the respondents felt that students with disabilities in inclusive classrooms will benefit socially. Only 20 percent of the respondents thought that children with disabilities do not learn enough meaningful information in special education. Sixty percent of the respondents agreed that teachers need technical expertise to ensure children with disabilities are successfully learning. According to 88% of the respondents, students with disabilities would have a better chance to success if strategies developed by special education were commonly used in the regular classroom. Only



18% of the respondents indicated that solo experts teachers should teach in inclusive classrooms. In reviewing statements associated with student performance, 32% of the respondents stated that special students performed better on average in regular classrooms and a 40% had the opposite opinion. Finally, 87% of the respondents felt that inclusion is a basic right of students and that teachers should be prepared to teach <u>all</u> students in the regular classroom.

Overall, most of the general education teachers agreed that inclusion is a right of students and a primary responsibility for teachers, and that some degree of inclusion could provide some benefits (academic and social) to the student with disabilities. However, only a minority of teachers agreed that general classroom was the best environment for students with special needs when compared with special education (items 1 and 3).

Regular classroom teachers were also asked about concerns regarding inclusion. One of the main concerns for 46 percent of the respondents was that eliminating special education placements will deprive many students an appropriate education. More specifically, respondents believed (89 percent) that children with disabilities do not receive sufficiently intensive instruction in regular classrooms because teachers can not attend to their needs, and that consequently maintaining a continuum of placements is a sensible solution for 83 percent of the respondents. Directly related to these statements were the opinions of those respondents who maintain that nothing is in place to make the regular classroom an inclusive environment (75% of the respondents), or that the resource room represents a good chance to work one-to-one (87% of agreements). However, to the question of whether or not mainstreaming/inclusion is ineffective, a majority of teachers (86 percent) disagreed. Overall, a great amount of teachers agreed that students with disabilities could create special classroom problems for them. This is the reason why regular classroom teachers agreed to maintain diverse placement options.



Total Scale and Subscales composite scores for the whole group of teachers and for subgroups (preservice and inservice teachers) are reported in Table 4. Considering that the mid point in the scales is 60, these results are indicative that Spanish teachers do not have positive perceptions of inclusion. Although they perceived more benefits (mean of 52.11, $\underline{SD} = 6.48$) than concerns (mean of 40.79, $\underline{SD} = 6.31$), taking into account the total score of the scale (mean of 70.77, $\underline{SD} = 9.73$) we can only talk of acceptance more than of positive beliefs and perceptions.

2. <u>Do Spanish teachers' beliefs (benefits and concerns toward inclusion) differ as a function of school experience?</u>

Once executed \underline{t} tests for independent samples on the composite scores of the Benefits Subscale, the Concerns Subscale, and the Total Scale, data were indicative that Spanish preservice and inservice teachers did not differ significantly on their opinions of benefits (\underline{t} = -.1.96, \underline{p} = .05) and concerns (\underline{t} = -.1.57, \underline{p} = .12) toward inclusion. Total scores in the Scale reflected no significant differences between preservice and inservice regular classroom teachers (\underline{t} = .08, \underline{p} = .94), however, further examination of results indicated the following trend: Inservice teachers perceived more benefits (53.49 \underline{vs} 50.73) and also more concerns (41.88 \underline{vs} 39.71) in regarding inclusion that preservice teachers, but these differences are not statistically significant.

CONCLUSIONS AND EDUCATIONAL IMPLICATIONS

Spanish teachers should model a positive attitude toward inclusion. Results indicated that Spanish regular classroom teachers' beliefs toward inclusion divided into two major areas:

- (1) Positive beliefs in accepting primary responsibility for included students, and recognition of positive effects of inclusion, particularly, on the social domain.
- (2) Strong reservations about work load, skills necessary to teach diverse students, and fear of eliminating the continuum of placements.



These results come to confirm the trends identified in previous studies (Minke, Bear, Deemer, Griffin, 1996; Scruggs, & Mastropieri, 1996) and represent a warn to the need of training and support for Spanish teachers. There should be continuous preservice and inservice education courses focusing on attitudes that enable <u>all</u> teachers to work effectively with students who may have special needs.

Future studies should assess the impact of university special education courses that offer adequate opportunities to explore teachers' beliefs and attitudes.

REFERENCES

- Aguilera et al. (1990). Evaluación del programa de integración escolar de alumnos con deficiencias. Madrid: Centro de Publicaciones del Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia.
- Andrews, S., & Clementson, J. J. (1997). <u>Active learning effects upon preservice teachers'</u> attitudes towrad inclusion. (ERIC Document Reproduction No. ED 410 217).
- Balbás, M. J. (1998). Un instrumento para evaluar las necesidades formativas de los profesores ante la integración. Revista de Educación Especial, 20, 41-59.
- Ellis, E. S. (1995). <u>Ellis Draft (03/15/95) of the Inclusion Attitudes and Beliefs survey</u>. Unpublished manuscript.
- García, J. N. y Alonso, J. C. (1985). Actitudes de los maestros hacia la integración escolar de niños con necesidades especiales. <u>Infancia y Aprendizaje</u>, 30, 51-68.
- LISMI (1982). Ley 13/1982, de 7 de abril, de Integración Social de los Minusválidos. <u>BOE</u>, 30/04/82.
- LOGSE (1990). Ley 1/1990, de 3 de octubre, de Ordenación General del Sistema Educativo. BOE, 04/10/90.



- Minke, K.M., Bear, G.G., Deemer, S.A., & Griffin, S.M.(1996). Teachers' experiences with inclusive classrooms: Implications for special education reform. The Journal of Special Education, 30(2), 152-186.
- Real Decreto 696/1995, de 28 de abril, de la educación de los alumnos con necesidades educativas especiales. <u>BOE</u>, 02/06/95.
- Scott, B. J., Vitale, M. R., & Masten, W. G. (1998). Implementing instructional adaptations for students with disabilities in inclusive classrooms. A literature review. Remedial and Special Education, 19(2), 106-119.
- Scruggs, T. E., & Mastropieri, M. A. (1996). Teacher perceptions of mainstreaming/inclusion, 1958-1995: A research synthesis. Exceptional Children, 63(1), 59-74.
- Soodak, L. C., & Podell, D. M. (1993). Teacher efficacy and student problem as a factors in special education referral. <u>The Journal of Special Education</u>, 27(1), 66-81.
- Schumm, J. S., & Vaughn, S. (1991). Making adaptations for mainstreamed students: General classroom teachers' perspectives. <u>Remedial and Special Education</u>, 12, 18-25.
- Semmel, M. I., Abernathy, T. V., Butera, G, & Lesar, S. (1991). Teacher perceptions of Regular Education Initiative. Exceptional Children, 58, 9-24.
- Wolery, M., Werts, M. G., Caldwell, N. K., Snyder, E. D., & Lisowski, L. (1995). Experienced teachers' perceptions of resources and supports for inclusion. <u>Education and Training in</u>

 Mental Retardation and <u>Developmental Disabilities</u>, March, 15-26.



Table 1
Additional Demographic Data on Inservice Teachers

6/41 6/41 13/41 16/41	14.6 14.6 31.8
6/41 13/41	14.6 31.8
13/41	31.8
16/41	20.0
10/41	39.0
11/41	26.8
19/41	46.4
11/41	26.8
	19/41



Table 3
Survey of Spanish Teachers' Beliefs on Inclusion
Subscale of Concerns

		Desag	ree		A	gree
1.	Inclusion is an untested model advocated by persons with a liberal agenda	42%	42%	8%	5%	3%
2.	Eliminating special education placements will deprive many students with disabilities an appropriate education	17%	37%	24%	15%	7%
3.	Abolishing separate placements will force mainstream teachers to deal with children they heretofore had avoided	0%	7%	33%	45%	15%
4.	The special education teacher is basically treated like an aid in the regular classroom	16%	51%	22%	10%	1%
5.	Normal children can not possibly learn as much in inclusion classrooms where the teacher is constantly having to deal with learning and behavior problems	27%	45%	11%	15%	2%
6.	Students who are pulled out for special instruction actually receive less direct instruction than their non-disabled peers	20%	61%	10%	19%	0%
7.	Too often children with disabilities do not receive sufficiently intensive instruction in the regular classroom because teachers can not attend to their needs like they like to do	0%	11%	48%	33%	8%
8.	We must maintain the alternative of moving kids to other places when that appears necessary in the judgment of teachers and parents	11%	28%	42%	9%	10%
9.	Trying to force every body into the inclusion mold promises to be just as coercive as trying to force everybody into the mode of special class	7%	49%	26%	12%	6%
10	. A continuum of placement options is sensible	0%	18%	60%	15%	7%
11	. Children are dumped into classrooms in the name of inclusion, when in fact, nothing is in place to make that an inclusive environment	1%	24%	34%	27%	14%



Table 3 (continuation) Survey of Spanish Teachers' Beliefs on Inclusion Subscale of Concerns

	Desag	ree		A	gree
12. We need different types of instruction for different kids	5%	42%	22%	24%	7%
13. It is not fair to the rest of the class when the teachers has to spend inordinate amounts of time and energy dealing with the behaviors of a couple of "included kids"	22%	32%	24%	18%	4%
14. The resource rooms give the resource teacher a chance to work one on one and to experiment with authentic ways of teaching	0%	13%	26%	33%	28%
15. Mainstreaming is ineffective	32%	54%	8%	6%	0%



Table 4
Spanish Teachers' Beliefs Toward Inclusion for the Whole Group and for Preservice and Inservice Teachers

Groups	<u>N</u>	<u>M</u>	SD	Range
Whole Group				
Benefits	82	52.11	6.48	38-66
Concerns	82	40.79	6.31	30-58
Total Scale	82	70.77	9.73	42-88
Preservice Teachers				
Benefits	41	50.73	5.48	38-62
Concerns	41	39.71	5.83	30-51
Total Scale	41	70.85	1.37	51-87
Inservice Teachers				
Benefits	41	53.49	7.16	39-66
Concerns	41	41.88	6.65	30-58
Total Scale	41	70.68	10.74	42-88





U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)

National Library of Education (NLE)

Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION	l:	
Title: What Do Spanish Teachers Believe	General Education Pl toward Inclusion?	eservice and Inservice
Author(s): Cristina M. (ardona	
Corporate Source: University of	Alicante, Spain	Publication Date:
II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:		
monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Re- and electronic media, and sold through the ERI reproduction release is granted, one of the follow	timely and significant materials of interest to the edisources in Education (RIE), are usually made availa C Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Crediing notices is affixed to the document. Iminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE	ble to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, t is given to the source of each document, and, if
The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
Sample	sample	sample
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
1	2A	28
Level 1	Level 2A ↑	Level 2B
Proposition of the second	NEL CONTROLLER	
and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) <i>and</i> paper copy.	Creek here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in nicrofiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only
Docum	ents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality produce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be produced.	
as indicated above. Reproduction from	rces Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permis in the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by pers a copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit re ars in response to discrete inquiries.	ons other than ERIC employees and its system

st. of Health Psychology

please ERIC

Sign

here,→

Signature:

E-Mail Address: Cristina. Cardona@ua.es | 07/20/2000

CRISTINA M. CARDONA/PROFESSOR/Dr.

Printed Name/Position/Title:

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, *or*, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:		
•.		
Address:		
		•
Price:		
		·
IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO		ICTION DIGUTS HOLDED.
IV. INCI EINIME OF EINO	O COP TRIGITIALE RODU	CHON RIGHTS HOLDER.
•		ddressee, please provide the appropriate name a
If the right to grant this reproduction relea		
If the right to grant this reproduction relea		
If the right to grant this reproduction releaseddress:		

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

ERIC CLEARINGHOUSE ON DISABILITIES
AND GIFTED EDUCATION
THE COUNCIL FOR EXCEPTIONAL CHILDREN
1920 ASSOCIATION DRIVE
RESTON, VIRGINIA 22091-1589

The state of the s

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility

1100 West Street, 2nd Floor Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598

Telephone: 301-497-4080
Toll Free: 800-799-3742
FAX: 301-953-0263
e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov
WWW: http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com

-088 (Rev. 9/97)
PREVIOUS VERSIONS OF THIS FORM ARE OBSOLETE.